arXiv:1412.3879v1 [math.RT] 12 Dec 2014

BOREL-WEIL-BOTT THEOREM VIA EQUIVARIANT MCKEAN-SINGER FORMULA

SEUNGHUN HONG

Abstract. After reviewing how the Borel-Weil-Bott theorem can be interpreted as an index theorem, we present a proof using Kostant's cubic Dirac operator and the equivariant McKean-Singer formula.

The Borel-Weil-Bott theorem "completes" the representation theory of compact Lie groups by providing a cohomological method to construct each and every irreducible representation of a compact Lie group. The main constituents of this construction are the harmonic forms, that is, the homogeneous solutions to the Hodge-Dolbeault operator. Thus the Borel-Weil-Bott method is essentially an index theorem. This viewpoint underlies the work of Bott [2] and is manifest in Slebarski's theorem [8, Thm. 1, p. 296]. In this article, we give a brief review on the interpretation of the Borel-Weil-Bott theorem as an index theorem, and prove it using Kostant's cubic Dirac operator and the equivariant McKean-Singer formula.

1. Preliminaries

Throughout the article we write $X = G/T$, where G is a compact connected Lie group and T is a maximal torus of G. The Lie algebra of G, that is, the tangent space of G at the identity e, shall be denoted by the lowercase black letter $\mathfrak{g}$. We endow $\mathfrak{g}$ with an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ by taking the negative of the Killing form. This inner product is invariant under the adjoint action of G on $\mathfrak{g}$.

1.1. Some Basic Facts and Notations Surrounding the Representation Theory of Compact Lie Groups.

Consider the conjugation action of G on itself. The elements among G that preserve T constitute the normalizer $N_G(T)$ of T relative to G. The quotient

$$W = N_G(T)/T$$

is known as the *Weyl group* of G.

The action of W on T induces a W-action on functions on T; in particular, the irreducible characters of T. The irreducible characters of T constitute the unitary dual $\hat{T}$ of T. So the orbit space $\hat{T}/W$ makes sense. A consequence of the *Weyl character formula* is that there is a one-to-one correspondence between $\hat{T}/W$ and the unitary dual $\hat{G}$ of G.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 19K56, 58J35, 43A77; Secondary 43A85, 22E45, 58A14.

Key words and phrases. Borel-Weil-Bott theorem, cubic Dirac operator, equivariant index theorem, equivariant McKean-Singer formula.

This research was partially supported under NSF grant DMS-1101382.

Let $\theta\colon T \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be an irreducible character of T. Its derivative $\theta_*\colon \mathfrak{t} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a Lie algebra representation. The function $-i\theta_*$ is a linear functional on the real vector space $\mathfrak{t}$. The image of the map $\hat{T} \to \mathfrak{t}^*$, $\theta \mapsto -i\theta_*$, forms a lattice Λ_T in $\mathfrak{t}^*$. We have:

$$\Lambda_T = \{\, \lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^* \mid \lambda(H) \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } H \in \mathfrak{t} \cap \exp^{-1}\{e\} \,\},$$

where exp denotes the exponential map. The constituents of Λ_T are known as the *analytically integral weights* of G.

Let K denote the fundamental Weyl chamber of our choice for the W-action on $\mathfrak{t}^*$. Then the one-to-one correspondence between $\hat{G}$ and $\hat{T}/W$ implies a one-to-one correspondence between $\hat{G}$ and $\Lambda_T \cap K$. More precisely:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{G} & \leftrightarrow & \Lambda_T \cap K, \\ [V] & \mapsto & \text{highest weight of } V. \end{array}$$

For each $\lambda \in \Lambda_T \cap K$, we denote by V_λ an irreducible G-representation space according to the above correspondence.

Let $w \cdot \lambda$ denote the action of $w \in W$ on $\lambda \in \Lambda_T$. The *shifted action* of w on λ is:

$$w \odot \lambda := w \cdot (\lambda + \rho) - \rho,$$

where ρ is the Weyl vector, that is, half the sum of the positive roots. (The positive roots are determined by the Weyl chamber K.) Then $\Lambda_T \cap K$ consists of all elements of Λ_T that has free W-orbit with respect to the shifted W-action. In summary, the Weyl character formula implies the following one-to-one correspondence:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{G} & \leftrightarrow & \{\text{free shifted } W\text{-orbits in } \Lambda_T\}, \\ [V_\lambda] & \mapsto & W \odot \lambda. \end{array} \tag{1}$$

1.2. **Borel-Weil-Bott Theorem.** Let $\mu \in \Lambda_T \cap K$, and let U_μ denote the complex vector space $\mathbb{C}$ on which T acts by the irreducible character of weight μ. We denote by $\ell(\mu)$ the word length of μ relative to the fundamental Weyl chamber K; it satisfies:

$$\ell(\mu) = \#\{\, \alpha \in \Phi_+ \mid \langle \mu, \alpha \rangle < 0 \,\}.$$

Here Φ_+ denotes the set of positive roots of G, and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the inner product on $\mathfrak{g}^*$ induced by that on $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let $G \times_T U_\mu$ denote the space of equivalence classes in $G \times U_\mu$ with respect to the relation $(g, z) \sim (gx^{-1}, x \cdot z)$ for $x \in T$. This is a complex line bundle over X. It is diffeomorphic to

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu := G^{\mathbb{C}} \times_B U_\mu,$$

which is a complex line bundle over $G^{\mathbb{C}}/B$, where $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ is the complexification of G, and B is a Borel subgroup of $G^{\mathbb{C}}$.

The statement of the Borel-Weil-Bott theorem depends on the selected Borel subgroup B. Our convention is as follows. Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the complexification of $\mathfrak{g}$, and take the root space decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+,$$

where $\mathfrak{n}_\pm$ denotes the positive and negative root spaces, and $\mathfrak{h}$ is the complexification of $\mathfrak{t}$. We set

$$\mathfrak{b} := \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+.$$

Then B is the connected subgroup in $G^{\mathbb{C}}$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{b}$.

Let $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{L}_\mu)$ be the sheaf of germs of holomorphic sections of $\mathcal{L}_\mu$. The celebrated Borel-Weil-Bott theorem states that the sheaf cohomology $H^*(X;\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{L}_\mu))$ is nontrivial only if μ has free shifted W-orbit, and if that is the case then

$$H^q(X;\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{L}_\mu)) \cong \begin{cases} V_{W\odot\mu}, & q = \ell(\mu); \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here $V_{W\odot\mu}$ denotes the irreducible representation space of G corresponding to the shifted orbit of μ according to the correspondence (1).

Now consider the twisted Hodge-Dolbeault complex

$$\mathcal{A}^p_\mu := \Omega^{0,p}(X) \otimes U_\mu,$$

whose differential is given by the Dolbeault operator

$$\bar\partial := d^{0,1} \otimes \mathbf{1}.$$

Owing to the Dolbeault theorem (see [10, Thm. 3.20, p. 63]), the complex $(\mathcal{A}^\bullet_\mu, \bar\partial)$ computes the sheaf cohomology of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{L}_\mu)$:

$$H^*(X;\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{L}_\mu)) \cong H^*\{(\mathcal{A}^\bullet_\mu, \bar\partial)\}.$$

Meanwhile, by the Hodge theorem, $H^*\{(\mathcal{A}^\bullet_\mu, \bar\partial)\}$ is isomorphic to the kernel of the Dirac operator

$$D := (\bar\partial + \bar\partial^\dagger)/\sqrt{2}, \tag{2}$$

where $\bar\partial^\dagger$ is the formal adjoint of $\bar\partial$. Since D is G-equivariant, the kernel of D is a G-representation space; we denote the corresponding virtual representation as $[\ker D]$. Then Borel-Weil-Bott theorem is equivalent to saying:

$$[\ker D] = \begin{cases} [V_{W\odot\mu}], & \text{if } W\odot\mu \text{ is a free orbit;} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

and $\ker D$ is homogeneous in degree equal to $\ell(\mu)$. This form of the Borel-Weil-Bott theorem first appeared in Slebarski [8].

1.3. **Borel-Weil-Bott Theorem as an Equivariant Index Theorem.** The complex $\mathcal{A}^\bullet_\mu$ is naturally bi-graded by the even and odd forms. Let D_+ and D_- denote the restrictions of D onto the even and odd subspaces. We have

$$[\ker D] = [\ker D_+] + [\ker D_-].$$

Since $V_{W\odot\mu}$ is irreducible (when $W\odot\mu$ is free), Equation (3) can be refined as follows. If $W\odot\mu$ is free then:

$$[\ker D] = [\ker D_+] \quad \text{or} \quad [\ker D] = [\ker D_-].$$

If $W\odot\mu$ is not free then:

$$[\ker D] = [\ker D_+] = [\ker D_-] = 0.$$

Now the *equivariant index* of D is by definition the virtual representation

$$[\operatorname{Ind} D] := [\ker D_+] - [\ker D_-].$$

Owing to what we have just seen above, we have:

$$[\operatorname{Ind} D] = [\ker D_+] = [\ker D] \quad \text{or} \quad [\operatorname{Ind} D] = -[\ker D_-] = -[\ker D],$$

provided that $W \odot \mu$ is free; otherwise we have:

$$[\operatorname{Ind} D] = [\ker D_{\pm}] = [\ker D] = 0.$$

Thus, the Borel-Weil-Bott theorem implies that $[\operatorname{Ind} D]$ is nontrivial if and only if μ has free shifted W-orbit, and if that is the case then $[\operatorname{Ind} D]$ is equal to $[V_{W\odot\mu}]$ up to sign. In fact, in our proof of the Borel-Weil-Bott theorem, we shall show that:

$$[\operatorname{Ind} D] = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\ell(\mu)}[V_{W\odot\mu}], & \text{if } W \odot \mu \text{ is a free orbit;} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

Equation (3) then follows with the aid of Equation (16). This index theorem is a refinement of Bott's result [2, Thm. III, p. 170]. It was first shown by Landweber [7] (for the general case of compact homogeneous space G/H where H is a closed subgroup of maximal rank in G).

1.4. **Equivariant McKean-Singer Formula and Kostant's Cubic Dirac Opeartor.** In obtaining Equation (4), Landweber uses Bott's equation: $[\operatorname{Ind} D] = i_*([E] - [F])$, where E and F denotes T-spaces, and i_* is the induction map $R(T) \to \hat{R}(G)$, $[E] \mapsto [\Gamma^2(G \times_T E)]$. Our method is to use, in place of Bott's equation, the equivariant McKean-Singer formula. What we have in mind more precisely is this: A virtual representation can be identified with its image under the character map

$$\chi \colon R(G) \to C(G),$$

which maps an irreducible element $[V]$ to its character χ_V. For the value of χ_V at $g \in G$, we write

$$[V]_g := \chi_V(g).$$

The equivariant McKean-Singer formula then states that $[\operatorname{Ind} D]_g$ is equal to the super trace of the operator ge^{tD^2} where t is a positive real number (see Berline, Getlzer, and Vergne [1, Prop. 6.3, p. 185]):

$$[\operatorname{Ind} D]_g = \operatorname{Str}(ge^{tD^2}) = \operatorname{tr}(ge^{tD_-D_+}) - \operatorname{tr}(ge^{tD_+D_-}). \tag{5}$$

(Although the right-hand side seems at first to be an infinite linear combination of irreducible characters, it is actually a finite combination due to the symmetry between the eigenvalues of D_+ and D_-.)

Instead of directly working with the complex $\mathcal{A}^\bullet_\mu$ in calculating the super trace, we shall use the isomorphism:

$$\mathcal{A}^\bullet_\mu \cong (C^\infty(G) \otimes \wedge^\bullet(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes U_\mu)^T. \tag{6}$$

Here the action of T is as follows: On U_μ it is by the irreducible character of weight μ; on $C^\infty(G)$ it is the one induced by right-translations; and on $\wedge^\bullet(\mathfrak{n}_+)$ it is that induced by the adjoint action. The T-action on $\wedge^\bullet(\mathfrak{n}_+)$ is related to the spinors constructed out of the orthogonal complement $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathfrak{t}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ by:

$$[\wedge^\bullet(\mathfrak{n}_+)] = [\mathbb{S}^* \otimes U_\rho] \in R(T). \tag{7}$$

Here $\mathbb{S}^*$ is dual of the spinor space $\mathbb{S}$ associated to the Clifford algebra $\operatorname{Cl}(\mathfrak{p})$ generated by $\mathfrak{p}$ (see Kostant [6, Prop. 3.6, p. 76]). The action of T on $\mathbb{S}$ is

provided by taking the homomorphism $T \to \mathrm{SO}(\mathfrak{p})$, coming from the adjoint representation of G, and lifting it to $T \to \mathrm{Spin}(\mathfrak{p})$:



This lift always exists [3, Cor.1.12, p. 91]. In short, we have:

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu^\bullet \cong (C^\infty(G) \otimes \mathbb{S}^* \otimes U_{\mu+\rho})^T. \tag{8}$$

Finally, because the equivariant index of a Dirac operator depends only on its symbol (this is easy to check directly, but there is a general theorem by Bott [2, Thm. I, p. 169]), we may use, in place of the Dirac operator (2), Kostant's cubic Dirac operator:

$$\mathcal{D} := \sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{p}} Y_i \otimes Y_i + 1 \otimes \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{p}} Y_i \gamma(Y_i) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{p}). \tag{9}$$

Here $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$; $\{Y_i\}_{i=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{p}}$ is any orthonormal basis for $\mathfrak{p}$; and γ is the map $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{spin}(\mathfrak{p})$ defined by:

$$\gamma(Z) := -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{p}} \langle Z, [Y_i, Y_j]_{\mathfrak{g}} \rangle Y_i Y_j. \tag{10}$$

The action of the algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{p})$ on the right-hand side of (8) is trivial on $U_{\mu+\rho}$; the action on $\mathbb{S}^*$ comes from the canonical action of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{p})$; and the action on $C^\infty(G)$ is solely from $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, which arises from identifying $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$ with the left-invariant vector field it generates on G.

The advantage of using the cubic Dirac operator lies in the simple form of its square:

$$\mathcal{D}^2 = -\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} + \operatorname{diag} \Omega_{\mathfrak{t}} + \|\rho\|^2. \tag{11}$$

Here $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}$ denotes the Casimir element in $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, and diag denotes the algebra homomorphism $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{t}) \to \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{p})$ induced by the map $\mathfrak{t} \to \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{p})$, $X \mapsto X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \gamma(X)$.

To see the effectiveness of Equation (11), decompose the right-hand side of (8) using the Peter-Weyl theorem:

$$(C^\infty(G) \otimes \mathbb{S}^* \otimes U_{\mu+\rho})^T \cong \bigoplus_{[V_\lambda] \in \hat{G}} V_\lambda \otimes (V_\lambda^* \otimes \mathbb{S}^* \otimes U_{\mu+\rho})^T. \tag{12}$$

(This is not entirely correct; the isomorphism is true upon taking the norm closures on both sides.) This isomorphism is obtained by identifying $|v\rangle \otimes \langle w| \in V_\lambda \otimes V_\lambda^*$ with the function $G \to \mathbb{C}$, $g \mapsto \langle g \cdot w \,|\, v \rangle$. The action of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ on the right-hand side is the one induced by the Lie algebra representation on each V_λ^*. Now take a summand

$$H_\lambda := V_\lambda \otimes (V_\lambda^* \otimes \mathbb{S}^* \otimes U_{\mu+\rho})^T.$$

Owing to Schur's lemma, the action of $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}$ on V_λ^* is constant with the value $-\|\lambda + \rho\|^2 + \|\rho\|^2$. For similar reasons, the action of $\operatorname{diag} \Omega_{\mathfrak{t}}$ on $V_\lambda^* \otimes \mathbb{S}^*$ is

again constant with the value $-\|\mu+\rho\|^2$. In summary, the restriction of $\mathcal{D}^2$ on the summand H_λ is simply the constant operator

$$\mathcal{D}_\lambda^2 := \|\lambda+\rho\|^2 - \|\mu+\rho\|^2. \tag{13}$$

So the super trace of the operator $ge^{-t\mathcal{D}^2}$ restricted to H_λ is:

$$\mathrm{Str}(ge^{-t\mathcal{D}_\lambda^2}) = [V_\lambda]_g \left\langle [V_\lambda\otimes\mathbb{S}_+] - [V_\lambda\otimes\mathbb{S}_-], [U_{\mu+\rho}]\right\rangle_T e^{-t(\|\lambda+\rho\|^2-\|\mu+\rho\|^2)}, \tag{14}$$

where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_T$ denotes the nondegenerate paring on $R(T)$ defined by:

$$\langle E, F\rangle_T = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_T(E,F).$$

2. A Proof of the Borel-Weil-Bott Theorem via the Equivariant McKean-Singer Formula

We now derive the Borel-Weil-Bott theorem using the equivariant McKean-Singer formula. As we have explained in Section 1, the Borel-Weil-Bott theorem is equivalent to the following:

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be Kostant's cubic Dirac operator acting on the smooth sections of the twisted vector bundle $G\times_T(\mathbb{S}\otimes U_{\mu+\rho})$ over $X=G/T$. The equivariant index of $\mathcal{D}$ satisfies:*

$$[\mathrm{Ind}\,\mathcal{D}] = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\ell(\mu)}[V_{W\odot\mu}], & \text{if } W\odot\mu \text{ is free;}\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases} \tag{15}$$

Moreover,

$$[\mathrm{Ind}\,\mathcal{D}] = \begin{cases} [\ker\mathcal{D}_+], & \text{if } \ell(\mu) \text{ is even;}\\ -[\ker\mathcal{D}_-], & \text{if } \ell(\mu) \text{ is odd.}\end{cases} \tag{16}$$

In each case, contributions to $\ker\mathcal{D}_\pm$ comes from sections whose degree is equal to $\ell(\mu)$.

Proof. We begin by invoking the equivariant McKean-Singer formula:

$$[\mathrm{Ind}\,\mathcal{D}]_g = \sum_{[V_\lambda]\in\hat{G}} \mathrm{Str}(ge^{t\mathcal{D}_\lambda^2}).$$

By Equation (14), we have:

$$[\mathrm{Ind}\,\mathcal{D}]_g = \sum_{[V_\lambda]\in\hat{G}} [V_\lambda]_g \left\langle [V_\lambda\otimes\mathbb{S}_+] - [V_\lambda\otimes\mathbb{S}_-], [U_{\mu+\rho}]\right\rangle_T e^{-t(\|\lambda+\rho\|^2-\|\mu+\rho\|^2)}.$$

But the left-hand side is independent of the parameter t; hence, the only contribution in the sum occurs from the terms with the exponential factor equal to 1, that is, when $\|\lambda+\rho\| = \|\mu+\rho\|$. Thus we have:

$$[\mathrm{Ind}\,\mathcal{D}] = \sum_{\substack{[V_\lambda]\in\hat{G},\\ \|\lambda+\rho\|=\|\mu+\rho\|}} [V_\lambda] \left\langle [V_\lambda\otimes\mathbb{S}_+] - [V_\lambda\otimes\mathbb{S}_-], [U_{\mu+\rho}]\right\rangle_T.$$

According to the multiplicity result of Kostant [5, Thm. 4.17, p. 486], we have:

$$\left(\left\langle [V_\lambda\otimes\mathbb{S}_+], [U_{\mu+\rho}]\right\rangle_T, \left\langle [V_\lambda\otimes\mathbb{S}_-], [U_{\mu+\rho}]\right\rangle_T\right) = \begin{cases}(1,0), & \text{if } \ell(\mu) \text{ is even,}\\ (0,1), & \text{if } \ell(\mu) \text{ is odd,}\end{cases} \tag{17}$$

provided that $\mu \in W \odot \lambda$; this last condition can be satisfied by some $[V_\lambda] \in \hat{G}$ if and only if $W \odot \mu$ is free. As a consequence we have Equation (15). We also find from Equation (17) that a nontrivial contribution to $[\operatorname{Ind} \mathcal{D}]$ comes solely from the even or the odd domain according to the parity of $\ell(\mu)$; hence Equation (16) holds. The same multiplicity result of Kostant also implies that such contribution to $[\operatorname{Ind} \mathcal{D}]$ comes from elements whose degree is $\ell(\mu)$. This completes the proof. □

Remark. Theorem 1 can be modified so that it holds for more general cases where T may be any closed subgroup H of G that is of maximal rank; the only change necessary is that we replace $U_{\mu+\rho}$ with $U_{\mu+\rho'}$, where

$$\rho' := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_+ \smallsetminus \Phi_+(\mathfrak{h})} \alpha.$$

Here $\Phi_+(\mathfrak{h})$ denotes the set of positive roots of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of H (the roots are calculated with respect to a common maximal toral subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$). This change is necessary because Equation (7) now takes the form:

$$[\wedge^\bullet(\mathfrak{n}_+)] = [\mathbb{S}^* \otimes U_{\rho'}].$$

The formula for $\mathcal{D}^2$ also changes to:

$$\mathcal{D}^2 = -\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} + \operatorname{diag} \Omega_{\mathfrak{h}} + \|\rho\|^2 - \|\rho_{\mathfrak{h}}\|^2,$$

where $\rho_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is the Weyl vector of $\mathfrak{h}$. But Equation (13) remains unmodified; so the argument we gave for G/T can be repeated word-for-word for G/H, and we have the full results of Landweber [7, Thm. 3, p. 471] and Slebarski [9, Thm. 2, p. 509].

References

[1] N. Berline, E. Getzler, and M. Vergne, *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*, Grundlehren Text Editions, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Corrected reprint of the 1992 original. MR2273508 (2007m:58033)

[2] R. Bott, *The index theorem for homogeneous differential operators*, Differential and Combinatorial Topology (A Symposium in Honor of Marston Morse), Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1965, pp. 167–186. MR0182022 (31 #6246)

[3] D. S. Freed, *Flag manifolds and infinite-dimensional Kähler geometry*, Infinite-dimensional groups with applications (Berkeley, Calif., 1984), Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 4, Springer, New York, 1985, pp. 83–124. MR823316 (87k:58020)

[4] B. Gross, B. Kostant, P. Ramond, and S. Sternberg, *The Weyl character formula, the half-spin representations, and equal rank subgroups*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **95** (1998), no. 15, 8441–8442 (electronic), DOI 10.1073/pnas.95.15.8441. MR1639139 (99f:17007)

[5] B. Kostant, *A cubic Dirac operator and the emergence of Euler number multiplets of representations for equal rank subgroups*, Duke Math. J. **100** (1999), no. 3, 447–501, DOI 10.1215/S0012-7094-99-10016-0. MR1719734 (2001k:22032)

[6] ———, *A generalization of the Bott-Borel-Weil theorem and Euler number multiplets of representations*, Lett. Math. Phys. **52** (2000), no. 1, 61–78, DOI 10.1023/A:1007653819322. Conference Moshé Flato 1999 (Dijon). MR1800491 (2001m:22028)

[7] G. D. Landweber, *Harmonic spinors on homogeneous spaces*, Represent. Theory **4** (2000), 466–473 (electronic), DOI 10.1090/S1088-4165-00-00102-3. MR1780719 (2001i:22016)

[8] S. Slebarski, *The Dirac operator on homogeneous spaces and representations of reductive Lie groups. I*, Amer. J. Math. **109** (1987), no. 2, 283–301, DOI 10.2307/2374575. MR882424 (89a:22028)

[9] ———, *The Dirac operator on homogeneous spaces and representations of reductive Lie groups. II*, Amer. J. Math. **109** (1987), no. 3, 499–520, DOI 10.2307/2374565. MR892596 (88g:22015)

[10] R. O. Wells Jr., *Differential analysis on complex manifolds*, 3rd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 65, Springer, New York, 2008. With a new appendix by Oscar Garcia-Prada. MR2359489 (2008g:32001)

Mathematisches Institut, Busenstrasse 3–5, D-37073 Göttingen, Germany
E-mail address: shong@uni-goettingen.de
URL: diracoprerat.org